Response to Motion 5A: Removal of Brent Strand as President

To: CGHA Board and Members

Date: September 16 2025

Re: Response to Motion 5A - Allegations and Request for Removal Under Bylaw 4.20

and ONCA

I am writing in response to Motion 5A, which proposes my removal as President of the CGHA. I **categorically reject the assertions** made within the motion and wish to address both the **factual inaccuracies** and the **procedural and legal flaws** inherent in this proposal.

1. Leadership and Commitment Since Taking Office

Since stepping into the role of President, I have worked diligently and transparently to fulfill every commitment I made, including:

- Prioritizing player development, especially within the House League system.
- Rebuilding communication and operational clarity, despite starting from scratch due to the complete deletion of all Presidential account emails by the previous officeholder.
- Addressing legacy issues and unfulfilled promises that predated my leadership.

It is unfortunate that some individuals appear to be motivated not by what is best for the association, but by a **personal agenda** aimed at undermining the current leadership.

2. Mischaracterization of the Anonymous Complaint Process

The motion falsely implies misconduct on my part regarding a disciplinary complaint. For clarity:

- The complaint was handled according to **OHF/OWHA governance** protocols.
- Despite no obligation to do so, an appeal was granted to demonstrate openness and fairness.
- The **complainant resigned voluntarily**—a fact supported by the tone and content of their resignation letter, which made reappointment unfeasible.

 We urge all members to critically assess the source and substance of these motions, and to prioritize decisions that are informed by direct involvement, transparency, and procedural fairness.

3. Governance, Quorum, and Legal Oversight

The motion claims I lack governance understanding. In truth:

- Our **legal counsel** has reviewed all relevant documents including board meeting quorum, minutes, and voting records and **confirmed CGHA** is not at legal risk.
- Many of the procedural "failures" referenced are misunderstandings or misrepresentations by the individual who moved this motion.
- repeated confusion regarding procedural requests and has required frequent legal consultation due to improper demands and a fundamental lack of understanding of our governance structure.

4. Inappropriate Nature of This Motion under ONCA

Under **Section 56(6)(b)** of the Ontario Not-for-Profit Corporations Act (ONCA), a corporation is not required to consider a member's motion if:

"(b) it clearly appears that the primary purpose of the proposal is to enforce a personal claim or redress a personal grievance against the corporation or its directors, officers, members or debt obligation holders;"

This motion fails to meet the standard of a valid governance proposal. The language, tone, and history of interactions between the mover of this motion and myself clearly suggest that this is **an attempt to redress a personal grievance**, not a legitimate concern for CGHA's governance or member welfare.

Additionally, this proposal does **not align with CGHA's Respect and Accountability Policy**, and its very submission may be viewed as a breach of those principles.

5. Conclusion

I remain committed to transparency, accountability, and the well-being of all CGHA members and players. The motion submitted is **unsubstantiated**, **procedurally flawed**, **and driven by personal animus**, rather than any actionable misconduct.

I trust the membership and the Board will see this motion for what it is — an **attempt to settle personal scores** under the guise of concern for governance — and will vote accordingly to **protect the integrity and continuity of CGHA's leadership.**

Respectfully,

Brent Strand

President, CGHA